

R E M A R K S

In response to the Examiner's comments:

- a. Claims 1-3, 13-14 and 18-19 have been canceled;
- b. Page 5 of the Specification has been amended to clarify the drawings and obviate an objection to them raised by the Examiner;
- c. Claims 9 and 16 -- which were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form -- have been so amended to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims; and
- d. Claims 4-5, 6-8, 10-12, 15 and 17 now depend from these rewritten Claims 9 and 16.


As the Examiner's objections to Claims 9-12 and 16-17 have been addressed, as the remaining claims in this Application now depend therefrom, and as the Description of the Drawings have been amended, this Application is now considered to be in condition for Allowance. Allowance of Claims 4-12 and 15-17 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

BERNARD K. SIMCOVITCH

CIB:sfw
732) 431-1333
Dated: February 8, 2006

By:



CHARLES I. BRODSKY
Attorney
Registration No. 22,058

LISTING OF CLAIMS